arXiv:math/0512188v1 [math.QA] 9 Dec 2005

Universal coverings of Steinberg Lie algebras of small characteristic

Yun Gao and Shikui Shang

Abstract

It is well-known that the second homology group $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R))$ of the Steinberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ is trivial when $n \geq 5$. In this paper, we will work out $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R))$ explicitly for $n = 3, 4$ which are not necessarily trivial. Consequently, we obtained $H_2(sl_n(R))$ for $n = 3, 4$.

Introduction

Steinberg Lie algebras $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ and/or their universal coverings have been studied by Bloch [Bl], Kassel-Loday [KL], Kassel [Ka], Faulkner [F], Allison-Faulkner [AF], Berman-Moody [BM], [G1, 2] and [AG], and among others. They are Lie algebras graded by finite root systems of type A_l with $l \geq 2$. In most situations, the Steinberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ is the universal covering of the Lie algebra $sl_n(R)$ whose kernel is isomorphic to the first cyclic homology group $HC_1(R)$ of the associative algebra R and the second Lie algebra homology group $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R)) = 0$. It was shown in [Bl] and [KL] that $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R)) = 0$ for $n \geq 5$. [KL] mentioned without proof that $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R)) = 0$ for $n = 3, 4$ if $\frac{1}{2} \in$ lies in the base ring K. This was proved (see [G1] 2.63) for $n = 3$ if $\frac{1}{6} \in K$ and for $n = 4$ if $\frac{1}{2} \in K$.

In this paper, we shall work out $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R))$ explicitly for $n = 3, 4$ without any assumption on (characteristic of) K. It is equivalent to work on the Steinberg Lie algebras $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ of small characteristic for small n. This completes the determination of the universal coverings of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ and $sl_n(R)$ as well.

More precisely, let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element (so R is a free K-module). The Lie algebra $sl_n(R)$ is the subalgebra of $gl_n(R)$ (the n by n matrix Lie algebra over K with coefficients in R), generated by $e_{ij}(a)$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $a \in R$, where

---

[0] 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B55, 17B60.

Research of the first author was partially supported by NSERC of Canada and Chinese Academy of Science.

e_{ij} is the standard matrix unit. The elements $e_{ij}(a)$ satisfy certain canonical relations. The Steinberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ is defined by generators corresponding to $e_{ij}(a)$ and those same canonical relations.

Recall that the radical $r(n)$ of a positive integer $n = p_1^{j_1} \cdots p_k^{j_k}$ is defined to be $p_1 \cdots p_k$, where $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ are distinct prime numbers and $j_1, \ldots, j_k$ are positive integers. For example, $r(3) = 3$ and $r(4) = 2$. For any positive integer m, set

$$\mathcal{I}_m = mR + R[R, R] \quad \text{and} \quad R_m = R/\mathcal{I}_m.$$

Our main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem *let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element. Then*

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R)) = {R_{r(n)}}^6,$$

the direct sum of six copies of $R_{r(n)}$, for $n = 3, 4$. In particular, if R is commutative and the characteristic of K is 2 for $n = 4$ and 3 for $n = 3$, then

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R)) = R^6$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we review some basic facts on Steinberg Lie algebras $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$. Section 2 will treat the $n = 4$ case. The novelty here is the use of cosets of the Klein four subgroup in the symmetric group S_4 which acts on $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ naturally. Section 3 will handle the $n = 3$ case. Finally in Section 4 we make a few concluding remarks.

§1 Basics on $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$

Let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. We always assume that R has a K-basis $\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$($\Lambda$ is an index set), which contains the identity element 1 of R, i.e. $1 \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.

The K-Lie algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in R is denoted by $gl_n(R)$. For $n \geq 2$, the elementary Lie algebra $sl_n(R)$ (or $e_n(R)$) is the subalgebra of $gl_n(R)$ generated by the elements $e_{ij}(a)$, $a \in R$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, where e_{ij} are standard matrix units. Note that $sl_n(R)$ can be equivalently defined as $sl_n(R) = [gl_n(R), gl_n(R)]$, the derived subalgebra of $gl_n(R)$, or $sl_n(R) = \{X \in gl_n(R) | \operatorname{tr}(X) \in [R, R]\}$.

Clearly, for any $a, b \in R$,

$$[e_{ij}(a), e_{jk}(b)] = e_{ik}(ab) \tag{1.1}$$

if i, j, k are distinct and

$$[e_{ij}(a), e_{kl}(b)] = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

if $j \neq k, i \neq l$.

For $n \geq 3$, the Steinberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ is defined to be the Lie algebra over K generated by the symbols $X_{ij}(a)$, $a \in R$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, subject to the relations
(see [Ka], [F] or [BM]):

$$a \mapsto X_{ij}(a) \text{ is a } K\text{-linear map}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$[X_{ij}(a), X_{jk}(b)] = X_{ik}(ab), \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{1.4}$$

$$[X_{ij}(a), X_{kl}(b)] = 0, \text{ for } j \neq k, i \neq l, \tag{1.5}$$

where $a, b \in R$, $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$.

Both Lie algebras $sl_n(R)$ and $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ are perfect(a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over K is called perfect if $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$). The Lie algebra epimorphism:

$$\phi : \mathfrak{st}_n(R) \to sl_n(R), \tag{1.6}$$

such that $\phi(X_{ij}(a)) = e_{ij}(a)$, is a covering (or central extension) and the kernel of ϕ is isomorphic to $HC_1(R)$, which is the first cyclic homology group of R([KL] or [L]). So the universal covering of $sl_n(R)$ is also the universal covering of $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ denoted by $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_n(R)$. Our purpose is to calculate $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_n(R)$ for any ring K and $n \geq 3$.

The following proposition can be similarly proved as in [AF] for the unitary case.

Lemma 1.7 *Let* $\mathfrak{T} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [X_{ij}(R), X_{ji}(R)]$. *Then* $\mathfrak{T}$ *is a subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ *containing the center* $\mathfrak{Z}$ *of* $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ *with* $[\mathfrak{T}, X_{ij}(R)] \subseteq X_{ij}(R)$. *Moreover,*

$$\mathfrak{st}_n(R) = \mathfrak{T} \oplus_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} X_{ij}(R). \tag{1.8}$$

As for the decomposition of $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$, we take $\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, the fixed K-basis of R, then $\{X_{ij}(r)\}$ $(r \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}, 1 \le i \ne j \le n)$ can be extended to a K-basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$.

In fact, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{T}$ has a more refined structure. Setting

$$T_{ij}(a,b) = [X_{ij}(a), X_{ji}(b)], \tag{1.9}$$

$$t(a,b) = T_{1j}(a,b) - T_{1j}(1,ba), \tag{1.10}$$

for $a, b \in R, 1 \le i \ne j \le n$. Then t(a,b) does not depend on the choices of j(see [KL]). Note that $T_{ij}(a,b)$ is K-bilinear, and so is $t(a,b)$.

One can easily prove the following lemma (see [KL] or [G1]).

Lemma 1.11 *Every element $x \in \mathfrak{T}$ can be written as*

$$x = \sum_i t(a_i, b_i) + \sum_{2\le j\le n} T_{1j}(1, c_j),$$

where $a_i, b_i, c_j \in R$.

The following result is well-known(see [Bl] and [KL]).

Theorem 1.12 *If $n \ge 5$, then $\phi : \mathfrak{st}_n(R) \to sl_n(R)$ gives the universal covering of $sl_n(R)$ and so the second homology group of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ is $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R)) = 0$.*

For later use, we collect some formulas as follows. They can be proved by using the Jacobi identity, see [KL], [G1] or [AG].

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_{ij}(a,b) = -T_{ji}(b,a)\\
&[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{kl}(c)] = 0 \text{ for distinct } i,j,k,l\\
&[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ik}(c)] = X_{ik}(abc), \quad [T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ki}(c)] = -X_{ki}(cab)\\
&[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ij}(c)] = X_{ij}(abc + cba)\\
&[t(a,b), X_{1i}(c)] = X_{1i}((ab-ba)c), \quad [t(a,b), X_{i1}(c)] = -X_{i1}(c(ab-ba))\\
&[t(a,b), X_{jk}(c)] = 0 \text{ for } j,k \ge 2
\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

§2 Coverings of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$

In this section, we compute the universal covering $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R)$ of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$. In fact, if K is a field and char $k \neq 2$, $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ is central closed and the universal covering is itself (see [G1,Corollary 2.63]).

Now we don't put any assumption on the characteristic of K.

For any positive integer m, let $\mathcal{I}_m$ be the ideal of R generated by the elements: ma and $ab - ba$, for $a, b \in R$. Immediately, we have

Lemma 2.1 *$\mathcal{I}_m = mR + R[R, R]$ and $[R, R]R = [R, R]R$*

Proof: Since ma and $ab - ba$ generate $\mathcal{I}$, then

$$\mathcal{I}_m = mR + R[R, R] + [R, R]R + R[R, R]R.$$

Since

$$[R, R]R \subseteq R[R, R] + [[R, R], R] \subseteq R[R, R] + [R, R] \subseteq R[R, R]$$

and similarly, $R[R, R] \subseteq [R, R]R$, $[R, R]R = [R, R]R$ and so the lemma is proved. □

Let

$$R_m := R/\mathcal{I}_m$$

be the quotient algebra over K which is commutative. Write $\bar{a} = a + \mathcal{I}_m$ for $a \in R$. Note that if $m = 2$ then $\overline{a} = -\overline{a}$ in R_m.

Definition 2.2 *$\mathcal{W} = R_2^6$ is the direct sum of six copies of R_2 and $\epsilon_m(\overline{a}) = (0, \cdots, \overline{a}, \cdots, 0)$ is the element of $\mathcal{W}$, of which the m-th component is $\overline{a}$ and others are zero, for $1 \leq m \leq 6$.*

Let S_4 be the symmetric group of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$$P = \{(i, j, k, l) | \{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$$

is the set of all the quadruple with the distinct components. S_4 has a natural transitive action on P given by $\sigma((i, j, k, l)) = (\sigma(i), \sigma(j), \sigma(k), \sigma(l))$, for any $\sigma \in S_4$.

$$H = \{(1), (13), (24), (13)(24)\}$$

is a subgroup of S_4 with $[S_4 : H] = 6$. Then S_4 has a partition of cosets with respect to H, denoted by $S_4 = \bigsqcup_{m=1}^{6} \sigma_m H$. We can obtain a partition of P, $P = \bigsqcup_{m=1}^{6} P_m$, where $P_m = (\sigma_m H)((1, 2, 3, 4))$. We define the index map

$$\theta : P \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\},$$

by

$$\theta\left((i, j, k, l)\right) = m \text{ if } (i, j, k, l) \in P_m,$$

for $1 \le m \le 6$. Particularly, we fix $P_1 = H((1, 2, 3, 4))$, then we have $(1, 2, 3, 4) \in P_1$ and $\theta((1, 2, 3, 4)) = 1$.

Using the decomposition (1.8) of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$, We take a K-basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$, which contains $\{X_{ij}(r) | r \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}, 1 \le i \ne j \le 4\}$. Define $\psi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathcal{W}$ by

$$\psi(X_{ij}(r), X_{kl}(s)) = \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{rs}) \in \mathcal{W},$$

for $r, s \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and distinct i, j, k, l and $\psi = 0$, otherwise. Then we obtain the K-bilinear map $\psi : \mathfrak{st}_4(R) \times \mathfrak{st}_4(R) \to \mathcal{W}$ by linearity.

Recall that a Lie algebra over K is defined to be an algebra satisfying $[x, x] = 0$ and $[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0$. Note the anti-commutativity does not imply $[x, x] = 0$ for arbitrary K.

We now have

Lemma 2.3 *The bilinear map ψ is a 2-cocycle.*

Proof: First, $\overline{ab} = \bar{a}\bar{b} = \bar{b}\bar{a} = \overline{ba} = -\overline{ba}$ for $a, b \in R$ and if $(i, j, k, l) = \sigma((1, 2, 3, 4))(\sigma \in S_4)$, then $(k, l, i, j) = (\sigma \circ (13)(24))((1, 2, 3, 4))$. So (i, j, k, l) and (k, l, i, j) are in the same P_m, i.e. $\theta((i, j, k, l)) = \theta((k, l, i, j))$. Thus

$$\psi(X_{ij}(a), X_{kl}(b)) = \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{ab}) = -\epsilon_{\theta((k,l,i,j))}(\overline{ba}) = -\psi(X_{kl}(b), X_{ij}(a))$$

So ψ is skew-symmetric. Since $\psi(\gamma, \gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\psi(x, x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{st}_4(R)$.

Next we prove

$$\psi([x, y], z) + \psi([y, z], x) + \psi([z, x], y) = 0,$$

for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{st}_4(R)$. Denote the left side by $J(x, y, z)$, it suffices to check $J(x, y, z) = 0$ on the basis Γ. According to Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.11, the Steinberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ has the decomposition :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{st}_4(R) = & t(R, R) \oplus T_{12}(1, R) \oplus T_{13}(1, R) \oplus T_{14}(1, R) \\ & \oplus_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} X_{ij}(R), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $t(R, R)$ is the K-linear span of the elements $t(a, b)$

We will show the following possibilities:

Case 1: Clearly, the number of elements of x, y, z belonging to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{T}$ such that $\psi([x, y], z) \neq 0$ is at most one. Thus we can suppose that $x = X_{12}(a), y = X_{34}(b)$ and $z \in \mathfrak{T}$, where $a, b \in R$. We omit the other subcases since they are very similar (although not identical). By (2.2), we can assume that either $z = t(c, d)$, where $c, d \in R$, or $z = T_{1j}(1, c)$, where $2 \leq j \leq 4$ and $c \in R$. When $z = t(a, b)$, then according to the Jacobi identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([t(c, d), X_{12}(a)], X_{34}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{12}((cd - dc)a), X_{34}(b)) \\ &= \epsilon_1(\overline{(cd - dc)ba)} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{12}(c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([T_{12}(1, c), X_{12}(a)], X_{3,4}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{12}(ca + ac), X_{34}(b)) \\ &= \epsilon_1(\overline{(ca + ac)b}) = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{13}(c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{3,4}(b), T_{13}(c)], X_{12}(a)) + \psi([T_{13}(1, c), X_{12}(a)], X_{3,4}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{3,4}(cb), X_{12}(a)) + \psi(X_{12}(ca), X_{3,4}(b)) \\ &= \epsilon_1(-\overline{cba} + \overline{cab}) = \epsilon_1(\overline{c(ab - ba)}) = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{14}(c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,z) &= \psi([X_{34}(b), T_{14}(c)], X_{12}(a)) + \psi([T_{14}(1,c), X_{12}(a)], X_{3,4}(b)) \\ &= \psi(-X_{34}(bc), X_{12}(a)) + \psi(X_{12}(ca), X_{3,4}(b)) \\ &= \epsilon_1(\overline{bca} + \overline{cab}) = \epsilon_1(\overline{abc - abc}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: If there is none of $\{x,y,z\}$ belonging to $\mathfrak{T}$, the nonzero terms of $J(x,y,z)$ must be $\psi([X_{ik}(a), X_{kj}(b)], X_{kl}(c))$ or $\psi([X_{il}(a), X_{lj}(b)], X_{kl}(c))$, for distinct i,j,k,l and $a,b,c \in R$.

One is: $x = X_{ik}(a)$,$y = X_{kj}(b)$ and $z = X_{kl}(c)$

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,z)) &= \psi(X_{ij}(ab), X_{kl}(c)) + \psi(-X_{il}(ac), X_{kj}(b)) \\ &= \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{abc}) - \epsilon_{\theta((i,l,k,j))}(\overline{acb}) \\ &= \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{a(bc - cb)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The other is: $x = X_{il}(a)$,$y = X_{lj}(b)$ and $z = X_{kl}(c)$

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,z)) &= \psi(X_{ij}(ab), X_{kl}(c)) + \psi(X_{il}(a), X_{kj}(cb)) \\ &= \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{abc}) + \epsilon_{\theta((i,l,k,j))}(\overline{acb}) \\ &= \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{a(bc + cb)}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as (i,j,k,l) and (i,l,k,j) are in the same partition of P, i.e. $\theta((i,j,k,l)) = \theta((k,l,i,j))$. This is because that if $(ijkl) = \sigma((1234))(\sigma \in S_4)$, then $(ilkj) = (\sigma \circ (24))((1234))$. The proof is completed. $\square$

We therefore obtain a central extension of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{st}_4(R) \to 0, \tag{2.5}$$

i.e.

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R) = \mathcal{W} \oplus \mathfrak{st}_4(R), \tag{2.6}$$

with Lie bracket

$$[(c,x),(c',y)] = (\psi(x,y),[x,y])$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ and $c, c' \in \mathcal{W}$, where $\pi : \mathcal{W} \oplus \mathfrak{st}_4(R) \to \mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ is the second coordinate projection map. Then, $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R), \pi)$ is a covering (or a central extension) of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$. We will show that $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R), \pi)$ is the universal covering of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$. To do this, we define a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp$ to be the Lie algebra generated by the symbols $X^\sharp_{ij}(a)$, $a \in R$ and the K-linear space $\mathcal{W}$, satisfying the following relations:

$$a \mapsto {X_{ij}}^\sharp(a) \text{ is a } K\text{-linear mapping,} \tag{2.7}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{jk}(b)] = X^\sharp_{ik}(ab), \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{2.8}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), \mathcal{W}] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, \tag{2.9}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{ij}(b)] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, \tag{2.10}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{ik}(b)] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{2.11}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{kj}(b)] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{2.12}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{kl}(b)] = \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{ab}), \text{ for distinct } j, k, i, l, \tag{2.13}$$

where $a, b \in R$,$1 \le i, j, k, l \le 4$. As $1 \in R$, $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp$ is perfect. Clearly, there is a unique Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R)$ such that $\rho(X^\sharp_{ij}(a)) = X_{ij}(a)$ and $\rho|_{\mathcal{W}} = id$.

Remark 2.14: Comparing with the generating relations of $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ (1.3)-(1.5), we separate the case $[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{kl}(b)](j \neq k, i \neq l)$ into four subcases (2.10)-(2.13).

We claim that ρ is actually an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.15 *$\rho : \mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R)$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism.*

Proof: Let $T^\sharp_{ij}(a, b) = [X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{ji}(b)]$. Then one can easily check that for $a, b \in R$ and distinct i, j, k, one has

$$T^\sharp_{ij}(a, b) = -T^\sharp_{ji}(b, a) \tag{2.16}$$

$$T^\sharp_{ij}(ab, c) = T^\sharp_{ik}(a, bc) + T^\sharp_{kj}(b, ca) \tag{2.17}$$

Indeed, the proof of (2.17) is the same as the proof in [KL] and [G1,Proposition 2.17]. Put $t^\sharp(a, b) = T^\sharp_{1j}(a, b) - T^\sharp_{1j}(1, ab)$ for $a, b \in R, 2 \le j \le 4$. Then $t^\sharp(a, b)$ does not depend on the choice of j. Also, one can easily check (as in [AF, Lemma 1.1]) that

$$\mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp = \mathfrak{T}^\sharp \oplus_{1 \le i \neq j \le 4} X^\sharp_{ij}(R)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{T}^\sharp = \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l \text{ are distinct}} [X^\sharp_{ij}(R), X^\sharp_{kl}(R)] \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le 4} [X^\sharp_{ij}(R), X^\sharp_{ji}(R)] \right).$$

It then follows from (2.16) and (2.17) above that

$$\mathfrak{T}^\sharp = \mathcal{W} \oplus \Big(t^\sharp(R,R) \oplus T^\sharp_{12}(1,R) \oplus T^\sharp_{13}(1,R) \oplus T^\sharp_{14}(1,R) \Big) \tag{2.18}$$

where $t^\sharp(R,R)$ is the linear span of the elements $t^\sharp(a,b)$. So by Lemma 1.11, it suffices to show that the restriction of ρ to $t^\sharp(R,R)$ is injective.

Now the similar argument as given in [AG,Lemma 6.18] shows that there exists a linear map from $t(R,R)$ to $t^\sharp(R,R)$ so that $t(a,b) \mapsto t^\sharp(a,b)$ for $a,b \in R$. This map is the inverse of the restriction of ρ to $t^\sharp(R,R)$. □

The following theorem is the main result of this section:

Theorem 2.19 $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R), \pi)$ *is the universal covering of* $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ *and hence*

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}_4(R)) \cong \mathcal{W}.$$

Proof: The idea for proving this theorem is motivated by [G1] and [AG]. Particularly, we imitate the method of proving the universal covering of $\mathfrak{stu}_4(\mathcal{A}, -, \gamma)$ in the Section 6 of [AG].

Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{V} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{st}_4(R) \to 0$$

is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$. We must show that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\eta : \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R)$ so that $\tau \circ \eta = \pi$. Thus, by Lemma 2.15, it suffices to show that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\xi : \mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R)$ so that $\tau \circ \xi = \pi \circ \rho$.

Using the K-basis $\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of R, we choose a preimage $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ of $X_{ij}(a)$ under τ, $1 \le i \ne j \le 4$, $a \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, so that the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ satisfy the relations (2.7)-(2.13). For distinct i,j,k, let

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) + \mu^k_{ij}(a,b)$$

where $\mu^k_{ij}(a,b) \in \mathcal{V}$. Take distinct i,j,k,l, then

$$\Big[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), [\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)]\Big] = [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(cb)].$$

But the left side is, by Jacobi identity,

$$\Big[[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)], \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)]\Big] + \Big[\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c), [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)]\Big] = [\widetilde{X}_{il}(ac), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)].$$

as $[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)] \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(cb)] = [\widetilde{X}_{il}(ac), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)]$$

In particular, $\mu^k_{ij}(a, cb) = \mu^l_{ij}(ac, b)$ and $[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = [\widetilde{X}_{il}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)]$. It follows that $\mu^k_{ij}(a, b) = \mu^l_{ij}(a, b) = \mu_{ij}(a, b)$ which show $\mu^k_{ij}(a, b)$ is independent of the choice of k and $\mu_{ij}(c, b) = \mu_{ij}(1, cb)$, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) + \mu_{ij}(a, b)$$

Taking $a = 1$, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(1), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(b) + \mu_{ij}(1, b)$$

Now, we replace $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)$ by $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b) + \mu_{ij}(1, b)$. Then the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)$ still satisfy the relations (2.7). Moreover we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) \tag{2.20}$$

for $a, b \in R$ and distinct i, j, k. So, the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ satisfy (2.8).

Next for $k \neq i, k \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)] &= \Big[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)]\Big] \\ &= \Big[[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\Big] + \Big[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b), [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)]\Big] \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

as both of $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)]$ and $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)]$ are in $\mathcal{V}$. Thus, the relation (2.10) has been shown.

For (2.11), taking $l \notin \{i, j, k\}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)] &= \Big[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), [\widetilde{X}_{il}(b), \widetilde{X}_{lk}(1)]\Big] \\ &= \Big[[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{il}(b)], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\Big] + \Big[\widetilde{X}_{il}(b), [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lk}(1)]\Big] \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

with $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{il}(b)],[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{lk}(1)]\in\mathcal{V}$. Similarly, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)]=0 \tag{2.23}$$

for distinct i,j,k, which is respect to the relation (2.12).

To verify (2.13) one needs a few more steps. First, set $\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b)=[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{ji}(b)]$, The following brackets are easily checked by the Jacobi identity.

$$\begin{gathered}[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c)]=\widetilde{X}_{ik}(abc),\ \ [\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(c)]=\widetilde{X}_{kj}(cba)\\ \text{and } [\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)]=0\end{gathered} \tag{2.24}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ij}(c)]&=\Big[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)]\Big]\\ &=\Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c)],\widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\Big]+\Big[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c),[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)]\Big]\\ &=\widetilde{X}_{ij}(abc)+\widetilde{X}_{ij}(cba)=\widetilde{X}_{ij}(abc+cba)\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

for $a,b,c\in R$ and distinct i,j,k,l.

Next for distinct i,j,k,l, let

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)]=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b)$$

where $\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b)\in\mathcal{V}$. By (2.24) and (2.25),

$$\begin{aligned}2\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b)&=[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(2a),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)]=\Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1),\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)],\widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)\Big]\\ &=\Big[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1),[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)]\Big]+\Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)],\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)]\Big]\\ &=0\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b)=-\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b). \tag{2.26}$$

Using Jacobi identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^{il}_{kj}(bc,a)&=[\widetilde{X}_{il}(bc),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(a)]=\Big[[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)],\widetilde{X}_{kj}(a)\Big]\\ &=\Big[[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(a)],\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)\Big]+\Big[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b),[\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(a)]\Big]\\ &=[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(ba),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)]=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ba,c).\end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\nu^{il}_{kj}(b,a)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ba,1) \tag{2.27}$$

where $a,b\in R$ and i,j,k,l are distinct. So $\nu^{ij}_{kl}(R,R)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(R,1)$.

Moreover, by (2.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^{ij}_{kl}(abc+cba,d)&=[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(abc+cba),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(d)]=\Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ij}(c)],\widetilde{X}_{kl}(1)\Big]\\&=\Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(d)],\widetilde{X}_{ij}(c)\Big]+\Big[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(c),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(d)]\Big]\\&=[0,\widetilde{X}_{ij}(c)]+[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\nu^{ij}_{kl}(c,d)]=0\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Taking $c=d=1$ gives $\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ab+ba,1)=0$, i.e.

$$\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,b)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ba,1)=-\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ab,1)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ab,1)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(b,a) \tag{2.29}$$

Letting $c=1$ in (2.28) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^{ij}_{kl}(d(ab-ba),1)&=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ab,d)-\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ba,d)=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ab,d)+\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ba,d)\\&=\nu^{ij}_{kl}(ab+ba,d)=0\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

for $a,b,c,d\in R$ and distinct i,j,k,l.

By Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{I}_2=span\{2a,c(ab-ba)|a,b,c\in R)\}$, (2.26) and (2.30) show us

$$\nu^{ij}_{kl}(\mathcal{I}_2,1)=0. \tag{2.31}$$

Finally, recall that $P=\bigsqcup_{m=1}^{6}P_m$ and θ is the index map. For any $a\in R$, S_4 can also act on the set $\{\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,1)|(i,j,k,l)\in P\}$ by $\sigma(\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,1))=\nu^{i'j'}_{k'l'}(a,1)$ if $\sigma((i,j,k,l))=(i',j',k',l')$. For each index of the partition of P, we define a linear morphism

$$\xi_{(i,j,k,l)}:R\to\mathcal{V}\quad\text{by}\quad a\mapsto\nu^{ij}_{kl}(a,1).$$

By (2.31), it induces a morphism $R_2\to\mathcal{V}$, which is still denoted by $\xi_{(i,j,k,l)}$. Moreover, by (2.26),(2.27) and (2.29), we get

$$\nu^{12}_{34}(a,1)=\nu^{14}_{32}(a,1)=\nu^{34}_{12}(a,1).$$

It shows that the subgroup $H = \{(1), (13), (24), (13)(24)\}$ of S_4 fixes $\nu_{34}^{12}(a, 1)$. Thus, we can define $\xi_m = \xi_{(i,j,k,l)}$ if $m = \theta((i, j, k, l))$ (i.e. $(i, j, k, l) \in P_m$), which is independent on the choice of the elements in P_m. Let $\xi = \sum_{m=1}^{6} \xi_m \circ p_m : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{V}$, where p_m is the m-th coordinate projection map of $\mathcal{W} = R_2^6$. Then, ξ can be extended to a Lie algebra homomorphism $\xi : \mathfrak{st}_4(R)^\sharp \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_4(R)$, by $\xi(X_{ij}^\sharp(a)) = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ for $a \in R$ and $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$. But then $\tau \circ \xi(X_{ij}^\sharp(a)) = \tau \circ (\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)) = X_{ij}(a)$ and $\pi \circ \rho(X_{ij}^\sharp(a)) = \pi(X_{ij}(a)) = X_{ij}(a)$, and thus $\tau \circ \xi = \pi \circ \rho$ are required. We have proved this theorem. □

Remark 2.32 If 2 is an invertible element of K, then $R = 2R$. Thus $\mathcal{I}_2 = R$ and $\mathcal{W} = R_2^6 = 0$. In this case, $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ is centrally closed.

If the characteristic of K is 2, we display the following two examples which are two extreme cases.

Example 2.33 Let R be an associative commutative K-algebra where char $K = 2$, then we have $\mathcal{I}_2 = 0$ and $R_2 = R$. Therefore $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_4(R)) = R^6$.

Example 2.34 Let K be a field of characteristic two. $R = W_k$ is the Weyl algebra which is a unital associative algebra over K generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k$ subject to the relations $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, $y_i y_j = y_j y_i$, $x_i y_j - y_j x_i = \delta_{ij}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_2 = R$, $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_4(R)) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ is centrally closed.

§3 Coverings of $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$

In this section we compute $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(R))$. Recall that $\mathcal{I}_3 = 3R + R[R, R]$ and $R_3 = R/\mathcal{I}_3$ is an associative commutative K-algebra.

Definition 3.1 *$\mathcal{U} = R_3^6$ and denote the six copies of R_3 by $R_3^{(1)}$,$R_3^{(2)}$,$R_3^{(3)}$ and $R_3^{(-1)}$, $R_3^{(-2)}$,$R_3^{(-3)}$. $\overline{a}^{(i)}$ and $\overline{a}^{(-i)}$ denote the corresponding element $(0, \cdots, \overline{a}, \cdots, 0) \in \mathcal{U}$, where $\overline{a} \in R_3$.*

For convenience, we use the symbol:

$$sign(m, n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m < n \\ -1, & \text{if } m > n \end{cases}, \text{ for } 1 \leq m \neq n \leq 3.$$

Taking the K-base Γ of $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$ containing $\{X_{ij}(r)\}$ $(r \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}, 1 \le i \ne j \le 3)$. We define $\psi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathcal{U}$ by

$$\psi(X_{ij}(r), X_{ik}(s)) = sign(j,k)(\overline{rs})^{(i)}$$

$$\psi(X_{ij}(r), X_{kj}(s)) = sign(i,k)(\overline{rs})^{(-j)}$$

for $r, s \in \{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and distinct i, j, k, and

$$\psi(x,y) = 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then ψ can be extended to a bilinear map $\mathfrak{st}_3(R) \times \mathfrak{st}_3(R) \to \mathcal{U}$.

We have

Lemma 3.2 *The bilinear map ψ is a 2-cocycle.*

Proof: By the definition, ψ is skew-symmetric. Since $\psi(\gamma,\gamma) = 0$ for all γ in the basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$, $\psi(x,x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{st}_3(R)$.

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.3, we show $J(x,y,z) = 0$, for $x,y,z \in \mathfrak{st}_3(R)$. It suffices to verify this by choosing x, y, z from Γ. By the decomposition,

$$\mathfrak{st}_3(R) = t(R,R) \oplus T_{12}(1,R) \oplus T_{13}(1,R) \oplus_{1\le i\ne j\le 3} X_{ij}(R) \tag{3.3}$$

We consider the following cases.

Case 1: There is at most one element of $\{x,y,z\}$ in the subalgebra $\mathfrak{T}$. Let $z \in \mathfrak{T}$.

We first verify two subcases $x = X_{12}(a), y = X_{13}(b)$ and $x = X_{21}(a), y = X_{31}(b)$ for $a, b \in R$. By (3.3), we assume that either $z = t(c,d)$, where $c, d \in R$, or $z = T_{1j}(1,c)$,where $2 \le j \le 3$ and $c \in R$.

If $x = X_{12}(a), y = X_{13}(b)$, we have, according to the Jacobi identity, when $z = t(c,d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,z)) &= \psi([X_{13}(b), t(c,d)], X_{12}(a)) + \psi([t(c,d), X_{12}(a)], X_{13}(b)) \\ &= -\psi(X_{13}((cd-dc)b), X_{12}(a)) + \psi(X_{12}((cd-dc)a), X_{13}(b)) \\ &= (\overline{a(cd-dc)b + (cd-dc)ab})^{(1)} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{12}(1, c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{13}(b), T_{12}(1, c)], X_{12}(a)) + \psi([T_{12}(1, c), X_{12}(a)], X_{13}(b)) \\ &= \psi(-X_{13}(cb), X_{12}(a)) + \psi(X_{12}(ca + ac), X_{13}(b)) \\ &= (\overline{acb + (ca + ac)b})^1 = (\overline{3abc})^{(1)} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{13}(1, c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{13}(b), T_{13}(1, c)], X_{12}(a)) + \psi([T_{13}(1, c), X_{12}(a)], X_{13}(b)) \\ &= \psi(-X_{13}(cb + bc), X_{12}(a)) + \psi(X_{12}(ca), X_{13}(b)) \\ &= (\overline{a(cb + bc) + cab})^1 = (\overline{3abc})^{(1)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $x = X_{21}(a), y = X_{31}(b)$, when $z = t(c, d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{31}(b), t(c, d)], X_{21}(a)) + \psi([t(c, d), X_{21}(a)], X_{31}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{31}(b(cd - dc))X_{21}(a)) - \psi(X_{21}(a(cd - dc)), X_{31}(b)) \\ &= -(\overline{(ab(cd - dc) + a(cd - dc)b)})^{(-1)} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{12}(1, c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{31}(b), T_{12}(1, c)], X_{21}(a)) + \psi([T_{12}(1, c), X_{21}(a)], X_{31}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{31}(bc), X_{21}(a)) - \psi(X_{21}(ca + ac), X_{31}(b)) \\ &= (-\overline{abc + (ca + ac)b})_1 = (-\overline{3abc})^{(-1)} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

when $z = T_{13}(1, c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{31}(b), T_{13}(1, c)], X_{21}(a)) + \psi([T_{13}(1, c), X_{21}(a)], X_{31}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{31}(cb + bc), X_{21}(a)) - \psi(X_{21}(ac), X_{31}(b)) \\ &= -(\overline{a(cb + bc) + acb})_1 = (-\overline{3abc})^{(-1)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As for the other subcases, they are similar to the above subcases except the following subcase which does not appear above. When $x = X_{23}(a), y = X_{21}(b)$ and $z = t(c, d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y, z)) &= \psi([X_{21}(b), t(c, d)], X_{23}(a)) + \psi([t(c, d), X_{23}(a)], X_{21}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{21}(b(cd - dc)), X_{23}(a)) + \psi(0, X_{21}(b)) \\ &= (\overline{b(cd - dc)a})^{(2)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: If there is none of $\{x, y, z\}$ belonging to $\mathfrak{T}$, the nontrivial terms of $J(x, y, z)$ must be $\psi([X_{ik}(a), X_{kj}(b)], X_{ik}(c))$ or $\psi([X_{ik}(a), X_{kj}(b)], X_{kj}(c))$, for $a, b, c \in R$ and distinct i, j, k.

One is: $x = X_{ik}(a)$,$y = X_{kj}(b)$ and $z = X_{ik}(c)$

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,z)) &= \psi([X_{ik}(a), X_{kj}(b)], X_{ik}(c)) + \psi(X_{kj}(b), X_{ik}(c)], X_{ik}(a)) \\ &= \psi(X_{ij}(ab), X_{ik}(c)) + \psi(-X_{ij}(cb), X_{ik}(a)) \\ &= (\overline{abc - cba})^{(i)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The other is: $x = X_{ik}(a)$,$y = X_{kj}(b)$ and $z = X_{kj}(c)$

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,z)) &= \psi([X_{ik}(a), X_{kj}(b)], X_{kj}(c)) + \psi(X_{kj}(c), X_{ik}(a)], X_{kj}(b)) \\ &= \psi(X_{ij}(ab), X_{kj}(c)) + \psi(-X_{ij}(ac), X_{kj}(b)) \\ &= (\overline{abc - acb})^{(-j)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

It is similar to the $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ case, we obtain a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$.

$$0 \to \mathcal{U} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{st}_3(R) \to 0, \tag{3.4}$$

i.e.

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R) = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathfrak{st}_3(R), \tag{3.5}$$

and define $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)^\sharp$ to be the Lie algebra generated by the symbols $X^\sharp_{ij}(a)$,$a \in R$ and the K-linear space $\mathcal{U}$, satisfying the following relations:

$$a \mapsto {X_{ij}}^\sharp(a) \text{ is a } K\text{-linear mapping,} \tag{3.6}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{jk}(b)] = X^\sharp_{ik}(ab), \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{3.7}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), \mathcal{U}] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, \tag{3.8}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{ij}(b)] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, \tag{3.9}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{ik}(b)] = sign(j,k)(\overline{ab})^i, \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{3.10}$$

$$[X^\sharp_{ij}(a), X^\sharp_{kj}(b)] = sign(i,k)(\overline{ab})_j, \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, \tag{3.11}$$

where $a, b \in R$, $1 \le i, j, k \le 3$ are distinct. $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)^\sharp$ is perfect and there is a unique Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathfrak{st}_3(R)^\sharp \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R)$. We have separated the case (1.5) into three subcases (3.9)-(3.11).

As was done in Lemma 2.15, we have

Lemma 3.12 $\rho : \mathfrak{st}_3(R)^\sharp \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R)$ *is a Lie algebra isomorphism.*

Now we can state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.13 $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R), \pi)$ *is the universal covering of* $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$ *and hence*

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(R)) \cong \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof: The idea to prove this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.19. But there are some slight differences. The point is that since $1 \le i, j, k \le 3$, if i, j, k are distinct, then k is uniquely determined once i, j are chosen.

Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{V} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathfrak{st}_3(R) \to 0$$

is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$. We must show that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\eta : \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R) \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R)$ so that $\tau \circ \eta = \pi$. Thus, by Lemma 3.12, it suffices to show that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\xi : \mathfrak{st}_3(R)^\sharp \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R)$ so that $\tau \circ \xi = \pi \circ \rho$. Choose a preimage $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ of $X_{ij}(a)$ as in Section 2.

(i). Again let $\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a, b) = [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ji}(b)]$, then

$$[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a) + \mu_{ij}(a)$$

where $\mu_{ij}(a) \in \mathcal{V}$. Replacing $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ by $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a) + \mu_{ij}(a)$. By Jacobi identity, we have

$$\Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(a)], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)\Big] + \Big[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), [\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)]\Big] = \Big[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)]\Big]$$

which yields

$$2[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] - [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = [\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab)]$$

i.e.

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) \tag{3.14}$$

This gives (3.7). The proof of the relation (3.9) is exactly same as (2.21).

(ii). To show $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ satisfy (3.10) and (3.11), We define

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)] = \nu^i_{jk}(a,b) \quad \text{and} \quad [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \nu^{ik}_j(a,b)$$

where $\nu^i_{jk}(a,b), \nu^{ik}_j(a,b) \in \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^i_{jk}(a,b) &= [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)] = \Big[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(1), \widetilde{X}_{jk}(b)]\Big] \\ &= [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(1), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(ab)] = \nu^i_{jk}(1, ab). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Big[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(1)]\Big] \\ &= \Big[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)], \widetilde{X}_{ik}(1)]\Big] + \Big[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), [\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(1)]\Big] \\ &= 2[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(1)] + [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(1)] = 3\nu^i_{jk}(1,a) = \nu^i_{jk}(1, 3a). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\nu^{ik}_j(a,b) = \nu^{ik}_j(1, ab) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu^{ik}_j(1, 3a) = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Set $\widetilde{t}(a,b) = \widetilde{T}_{1j}(a,b) - \widetilde{T}_{i1j}(1, ba)$, which does not depend on the choice of j. By the Jacobi identity and (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Big[\widetilde{t}(a,b), [\widetilde{X}_{12}(1), \widetilde{X}_{13}(c)]\Big] \\ &= \Big[[\widetilde{t}(a,b), \widetilde{X}_{12}(1)], \widetilde{X}_{13}(c)]\Big] + \Big[\widetilde{X}_{12}(1), [\widetilde{t}(a,b), \widetilde{X}_{13}(c)]\Big] \\ &= [\widetilde{X}_{12}(ab - ba), \widetilde{X}_{13}(c)] + [\widetilde{X}_{12}(1), \widetilde{X}_{13}((ab - ba)c)] \\ &= \nu^1_{23}(1, 2(ab - ba)c) = -\nu^1_{23}(1, (ab - ba)c) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\nu^1_{23}(1, (ab - ba)c) = 0$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Big[\widetilde{t}(a,b), [\widetilde{X}_{12}(1), \widetilde{X}_{32}(c)]\Big] \\ &= \Big[[\widetilde{t}(a,b), \widetilde{X}_{12}(1)], \widetilde{X}_{32}(c)]\Big] \\ &= [\widetilde{X}_{12}(ab - ba), \widetilde{X}_{32}(c)] \\ &= \nu^{13}_2(ab - ba, c) = \nu^{13}_2(1, (ab - ba)c). \end{aligned}$$

One can show the general cases,

$$\nu^i_{jk}(1,(ab-ba)c)=0 \text{ and } \nu^{ik}_j(1,(ab-ba)c)=0 \tag{3.18}$$

for $a,b,c\in R$ and distinct $1\le i,j,k\le 3$.

Since $\mathcal{I}_3=span\{3a,(ab-ba)c|a,b,c\in R\}$, by (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$\nu^i_{jk}(1,\mathcal{I}_3)=0 \text{ and } \nu^{ik}_j(1,\mathcal{I}_3)=0 \tag{3.19}$$

Finally,

$$\nu^i_{kj}(1,a)=[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(1),\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)]=-[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{ik}(1)]=-\nu^i_{jk}(a,1)=-\nu^i_{jk}(1,a)$$

If we denote $\nu^i_{jk}(1,a)=\nu^i(1,a)$ for $j<k$, then $\nu^i_{jk}(1,a)=-\nu^i(1,a)$. The same assumption can be used on $\nu^{ik}_j(1,a)$, such that $\nu^{ik}_j(1,a)=\begin{cases}\nu_j(1,a) & \text{for } i<k,\\ -\nu_j(1,a) & \text{for } i>k.\end{cases}$

Taking $\xi:\mathcal{U}\to\widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R)$ by $\xi((\overline{a})^{(i)})=\nu^i(1,a)$, $\xi((\overline{a})^{(-i)})=\nu_i(1,a)$ and $\xi|_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}_3(R)}=id$.

Then the rest of the proof is similar to Theorem 2.19. □

Remark 3.20 If R is commutative and char $K=3$, then we have $\mathcal{I}_3=3R+R[R,R]=0$, $R_3=R$. Thus, $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(R))=R^6\neq 0$. [KL] indicated that $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(R))=0$ when $\frac{1}{2}\in K$. This claim is not true. Again, as in Example 2.34, if $R=W_k$ the Weyl algebra, then $\mathcal{I}_3=R$, $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(R))=0$ and $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$ is centrally closed.

§4 Concluding remarks

Combining Theorem 1.12, Theorem 2.19 and Theorem 3.13, we completely determined $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R))$ for $n\ge 3$.

Theorem 4.1 *let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element. Then*

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R))=\begin{cases}0 & \textit{for } n\ge 5\\ R_2^6 & \textit{for } n=4\\ R_3^6 & \textit{for } n=3\end{cases}$$

It then follows from [KL] that

Theorem 4.2 *let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element. Then*

$$H_2(sl_n(R)) = \begin{cases} HC_1(R) & \text{for } n \geq 5 \\ R_2^6 \oplus HC_1(R) & \text{for } n = 4 \\ R_3^6 \oplus HC_1(R) & \text{for } n = 3 \end{cases}$$

where $HC_1(R)$ is the first cyclic homology group of the associative K-algebra R(See [KL] or[L]).

References

[ABG] B. N. Allison, G. M. Benkart, Y. Gao, *Central extensions of Lie algebras graded by finite root systems,* Math. Ann. 316 (2000) 499–527.

[AF] B. N. Allison and J. R. Faulkner, *Nonassociative coefficient algebras for Steinberg unitary Lie algebras,* J. Algebra 161 (1993) 1–19.

[AG] B. N. Allison and Y. Gao, *Central quotients and corverings of Steinberg unitary Algebras,* Canad. J. Math. 17 (1996),261–304.

[BeM] G. M. Benkart and R. V. Moody, *Derivations, central extensions and affine Lie algebras,* Algebras, Groups and Geometries 3 (1986) 456–492.

[BGK] S. Berman, Y. Gao and Y. S. Krylyuk, *Quantum tori and structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras,* J. Func. Anal. 135 (1996) 339 – 389.

[BM] S. Berman and R. V. Moody, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slodowy,* Invent. Math. 108 (1992) 323–347.

[Bl] S. Bloch, *The dilogarithm and extensions of Lie algebras,* Alg. K-theory, Evanston 1980, Springer Lecture Notes in Math 854 (1981) 1–23.

[F] J. R. Faulkner, *Barbilian planes,* Geom. Dedicata 30 (1989) 125–181.

[G1] Y. Gao, *Steiberg Unitary Lie Algebras and Skew-Dihedral Homology,* J.Algebra,17 (1996),261–304.

[G2] Y. Gao, *On the Steinberg Lie algebras $st_2(R)$,* Comm. in Alg. 21 (1993) 3691–3706.

[Ga] H. Garland, *The arithmetic theory of loop groups,* Publ. Math. IHES 52 (1980) 5–136.

[Ka] C. Kassel, *Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over a commutative ring,* J. Pure and Appl. Alg. 34 (1984) 265–275.

[KL] C. Kassel and J-L. Loday, *Extensions centrales d'algèbres de Lie,* Ann. Inst. Fourier 32 (4) (1982) 119–142.

[L] J-L. Loday, *Cyclic homology,* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 301, Springer 1992.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
York University
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M3J 1P3
ygao@yorku.ca
and
Department of Mathematics
University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, Anhui
P. R. China 230026
skshang@mail.ustc.edu.cn